

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

62	06.09.2010	<p>Item 10 – Cabinet Member questions – Cabinet Member for Finance and Sustainability</p> <p><u>Action 62.2</u> The Committee highlighted previous discussions on the possibility of using wasteland for allotment space and offenders on the Community Payback scheme to work on those spaces. The feasibility of this would be investigated and reported back to the Committee. (Cllr Winskill)</p> <p><i>The use of wasteland for community allotments, and of Community Payback participants to work those spaces, would raise a number of issues.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <i>1. The Council would need to establish ownership of the land. The Council has historically worked with Community Payback on land where the registered owner is unknown, the area is unregistered, or where there are complex issues relating to registration (e.g. there are several registered owners and it is complex to enforce). This work has tended to be clearing the area of litter or dumps. Putting an allotment on a site would require a more detailed understanding of ownership and the associated legal issues.</i> <i>2. Community Payback would be able to help with clearing rubbish and litter and cutting back undergrowth, however land would need to be tested for contamination prior to its being converted to use as an allotment. Additional infrastructure improvements might also be required (e.g. access to a water supply). It would not be appropriate to use Community Payback to provide these activities as the scheme cannot be</i> 	27.10.10 Response from Alex Fraser but further information requested from Urban Environment by Committee		
----	------------	--	---	--	--

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

used for work that would otherwise provide someone with paid work. The proposal would therefore require a reasonable level of pump priming and in some cases ongoing subsidy to meet physical and community engagement/ capacity building costs (the Council's current policy is cost recovery). Leisure Services would then have capacity to provide ongoing management. In this situation Community Payback might help with the initial work to bring a space back into use, but following this allotments would be looked after by allotment holders and there would be no on-going Community Payback role.

- 3. The Council are not currently working with Community Payback scheme through the Single Frontline Service because the Probation Service are no longer able to fund supervision of Community Payback work. It will be possible for Haringey Council staff to be trained to provide this supervision. At the current time Neighbourhood Services are mobilising a new waste contract and implementing a restructure: the service intends to consider the option to train staff as supervisors for Community Payback when the staffing of new structures is complete in October. In addition Leisure Services are currently working with the Probation Service to explore the scope to pilot the use of Community Payback to contribute to delivery of the Parks Improvement Plan – Bridging the Gap.*

The service would be able to provide a more specific response if a particular piece of land were identified. This would enable the Council to look into who owns the site and the logistics of preparing it for allotment use. At the current time the service is not aware of

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

		<i>any sites that would be suitable for this purpose.</i>			
70	04.10.2010	<p>Item 7 – Cabinet Member Questions – Cabinet Member for Planning and Regeneration</p> <p><u>Action 70.4</u> The Committee that more comprehensive figures on the cost of worklessness in the borough, for example including benefit figures, the impact on local health services, retraining costs and reduced consumer spending to be reported back to the Committee, after the findings of the current economic survey of the borough were known. (Cllr Winskill)</p> <p><i>The production of a Local Economic Assessment (LEA) for Haringey has been delayed until autumn 2011. This is due to officers working on the establishment of the shared economic development service between Haringey and Waltham Forest, and continued work on accessing external funding opportunities. The LEA, when produced, will cover the economic aspects of both boroughs.</i></p> <p><i>Details of the cost of worklessness that form part of the LEA will be made available to the Committee when it is completed.</i></p> <p><u>Action 70.5</u> The Committee would be provided with a written response to question 13 submitted for the Cabinet member for Planning and Regeneration, in respect of what happened to those 56 people of the 94 engaged in the Families into Work Programme, who are not in work, on skill courses or work placements. (Cllr Newton)</p> <p><i>There were 132 families registered on the Families into Work system on the 31st July 2011 when the Families into Work initiative ceased operation.</i></p>	<p>Assistant Director of Planning, Regeneration and Economy</p> <p>Assistant Director of Planning, Regeneration and Economy</p> <p>Assistant Director of Planning, Regeneration and Economy</p>		

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

The Families into Work initiative was designed as an innovative family centred approach to supporting workless households back into employment or training.

Since its inception a range of creative methods were used to engage and sustain relationships with members of family units.

At the close of the initiative there were 42 family members who are still in full time employment and have remained in employment for more than 6 months.

In spite of the best efforts of the team 29 clients disengaged from the programme for a range reasons ranging from pregnancy, no longer willing to find work to just not feeling ready to change their family situation.

Seven clients reported that they no longer needed supported as they were working 'cash in hand.'

Eleven families moved of the area into other boroughs mainly Enfield and Waltham Forest. Private rental in Northumberland Park is relatively high which becomes a barrier for clients going into minimum wage jobs. Some clients feel that they have to move into cheaper accommodation out of the area to make ends meet.

Eight clients signed of the programme due to health or medical conditions including 3 who were referred to the Learning Disability Team for support.

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

Eleven clients disengaged from the programme because they acknowledged that their ESOL needs prevented them from progressing with job search or skills training/development.

Two clients from the same family received custodial prison sentences.

Finally, three clients found full time jobs but were never able to present the evidence of the job starts.

Action 70.6 Further to a discussion regarding the Bridge NDC, the full value for money and performance report relating to the NDC would be circulated to the Committee. (Cllr Newton)

A report detailing the achievements of the NDC has been distributed alongside this response document.

71
04.10.2
010

Item 11 – Flow of Section 106 Money

Action 71.1 The Committee asked that further ways of engaging the wider community for ideas on s106 agreements be looked into and reported back to the Committee (Cllr Winskill)

Current procedures offer the community a significant opportunity. The UDP/LDF Plan for Haringey has offered the wider community an opportunity to say what type of improvements and community infrastructure is needed in their area. There is now a Community Infrastructure Plan in the new LDF on which S106 contributions are negotiated along with affordable housing and issues of site compliance. The production of this has been part of the Plan process, including public consultation. There is also community

Assistant Director of Planning, Regeneration and Economy

To be referred to the Cabinet Member when He attends on 6th Feb. 2011.

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

		<i>consultation on all planning applications, and planning applications are subject to an agreed S106 guidance note. There is now an annual report on S106 that goes to Planning Cttee. From 2014, S106 will be reduced (to deal with site compliance and affordable housing) and Community Infrastructure Levies (CIL) must be in place, if the Council is to collect funds for this purpose. The Council will be going out to public consultation on this in 2012-13. From April 2012, the Localism Bill may change significantly how CIL operates with the prospect of an agreed proportion always needing to be spent locally and decided upon locally.</i>			
74	04.10.2 010	<p>Item 10 – Winter Service Plan</p> <p><u>Action 74.2</u> The Committee requested that, once approved by Cabinet, the location of all grit bins in the borough be published on the Council's website.</p> <p><i>This action was completed last November for the 2010/11 Winter Service Plan.</i></p> <p><i>We are currently reviewing the 2011/12 WSP and any further changes will be updated to the website</i></p>	Client & Performance Manager – Environmental Resources		
190	14.03.2 011	<p>Item 7 – Cabinet Member questions: Cabinet Member for Planning & Regeneration</p> <p>Q2 – Economic Development - The Committee requested regular updates to all Council Members on how the shared service with Waltham Forest would operate (Action No. 190.1). <i>Cllr Winskill</i></p>	Assistant Director for Planning, Regeneration and Economy	SY will pick up after next Corporate Committee	

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

On 21 July, General Purposes Committee approved the process by which recruitment to the shared economic development service will take place. This approval included the current Head of Economic Development in Waltham Forest being seconded to the role of Head of the Shared Service for a period of 6 months, after which time the post will go out to advert to be filled on a permanent basis.

It is anticipated that the remaining recruitment to the shared service will commence in September/October.

Corporate Committee members will continue to receive updates in relation to the establishment of the shared service and its functions

Q7 – Tottenham Hotspur FC – A briefing note was requested on the discussions held with Spurs about the regeneration of Tottenham as part of the stadium redevelopment. Committee members expressed concerns that no fixed commitments had been made by the Club to employ and train local people and that a health centre had not been included in the development plans (Action No. 190.2). *Cllr Winskill*

The planning permission granted on 30-9-10 included a draft section 106 agreement that would provide funds for local employment along with a local employment planning condition. That planning application has not been triggered by the Club. The Council is in ongoing negotiations with THFC on the progress of this scheme, notwithstanding the Club's failed bid for the Stratford Stadium and its concern about the costs of implementing the Northumberland Park Scheme. The Council has been looking at ways to both make the THFC scheme more viable and to regenerate the local area. In this regard the Council has supported a £76m Regional Growth Fund

Assistant Director for Planning, Regeneration and Economy

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

bid by THFC for associated infrastructure costs, made a bid for Enterprise Zone status for the whole of Tottenham and put in bids to the Mayor's Town Centre Fund for improvements to Tottenham High Road (Seven Sisters, Tottenham Green, Bruce Grove and North Tottenham High Rd). Whilst a new health centre was not included in the approved planning scheme – unanimously agreed by Planning Cttee - the Council continues to look at opportunities to improve health in this part of the Borough, both through the new public health service and the Health and Wellbeing Board.

249

9th May 2011

Item 7 – Period 11 Council Performance and Budget Monitoring Exceptions

Re paragraph 15.5 - the Committee asked what was being done to increase occupancy at the Technopark and requested Action 176.1 (copied below from the OSC meeting held on 21 February 2011) be chased (Action No. 249). *Cllr Newton*

The Committee asked for a briefing note on the low occupancy of the Technopark (paragraph 15.5 – Period 9) including:

1. How many units there were?
2. How many units were let (what %) and to what sort of businesses, how many people were employed in them and what income they yielded?
3. How many units (%) were used by council services?
4. How many units (%) were occupied by the community and voluntary sector and were these provided for free or at a reduced rent (detail to be provided)? (Action No. 176.1) (*Cllr Newton*)

1. Total number of units available for letting - 94.

KB sent to Dinesh Kotecha – Property moves to Places directorate in June 11

NC to chase

Minute Number	DATE OF COMMITTEE	OUTSTANDING ACTIONS LIST COMMITTEE REQUEST / ACTION	RESPONDEE RESPONSIBLE	Date given by Director for final response	DATE COMPLETED?
---------------	-------------------	--	-----------------------	---	-----------------

2. 66 units are let representing 70% of the 94 producing £572,876 rental income. Types of businesses e.g.transportation, security, accountants, solicitors, recruitment. We do not have information on the number of people employed in the units.

3. 7 of the 66 units are occupied by Council services representing 7.5% of the 94.

4. 5 of the 66 units are occupied by community/voluntary sector representing 5.3% of the 94. These units are not provided for free or at a reduced rate but are all let on similar terms to commercial lettings.

In order to increase occupancy at Technopark the following actions are being undertaken:

- 1. Initiate rolling programme of conversion of existing short term tenancies to leases**
- 2. Intensify advertising - advertise via Council website, local press and business magazines; investigate extended use of internet and recognised property websites.**
- 3. Review procedures to streamline processes (including greater use of technology).**